

### **REMARKS**

These remarks and the accompanying amendments are responsive to the Office Action dated February 8, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as the "Office Action"). At the time of the last examination, Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 11 and 12 were pending, each of which being cancelled herein thereby rendering moot all prior rejections. By this amendment, new Claims 13-19 are added. New claims are supported in the specification in Figures 6 through 8 and the related description on, for example, page 12, line 24 to page 14, line 22.

As suggested in section 3 of the Office Action, the "cited prior arts, either alone or in combination, fail to teach the steps of deciding whether said subscriber profile has changed or not based on said information indicating that said subscriber profile has been changed, when said mobile terminal moves from the service area of said exchange to a service area of another exchange; and transmitting said subscriber profile to a home memory station, if it is decided that said subscriber profile has been changed." This suggests that the cited art, either alone or in combination, do not disclose the steps of setting a flag indicating that the subscriber profile of the mobile terminal has been changed; receiving a request from a home memory station for the subscriber profile of the mobile terminal and transmitting the changed subscriber profile of the mobile terminal to the home memory station if the flag is set. As such, the new claims are novel and non-obvious over the cited arts.

In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

WORKMAN NYDEGGER



ADRIAN J. LEE  
Registration No. 42,785  
Attorney for Applicant  
Customer No. 022913

AJL:ahy  
DS0000005657V001